			f
Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/869,759	HEIRBAUT ET AL.	T
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer A Boyd	1771	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate common GHTS. This application is so	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due cour	rse. <b>THIS</b>
1. This communication is responsive to <u>12/5/03</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-2 and 4 - 59</u> .			
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on <u>05 July 2001</u> are accepted by the Ex	aminer.		
<ul> <li>4.</li></ul>	e been received.  been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file IENT of this application.  itted. Note the attached EXA es reason(s) why the oath of the submitted. con's Patent Drawing Review as Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 CF sit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	on No  In this national stage application of the areply complying with the require AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE declaration is deficient.  In the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back R 1.121(d).  ERIAL must be submitted. Note	ements CE OF k) of
<ul> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ul>	6. ☐ Interview So Paper No./ 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTO-15 ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowan -	

Application/Control Number: 09/869,759

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's present response filed December 5, 2003. Claims 1, 18, 20 and 22 are amended, claims 36 – 59 are added and claims 1 – 2 and 4 – 59 are pending. All rejections have been overcome.

## Reasons for Allowance

- 2. Claims 1 2 and 4 59 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections of claims 1 7 and 12 –28 and 30 34 as being unpatentable over Tominaga (EP 477,785 A2), claims 1, 3 11, 22 and 29 as being unpatentable over Lesage et al. (US 5,388,432) and claims 22 and 35 as being unpatentable over Anaf et al. (US 5,631,067) have been overcome by the present response. While Tominaga (EP 477,785 A2), Lesage et al. (US 5,388,432), Anaf et al. (US 5,631,067) and Van De Velde et al. (US 6,045,926) are believed to be the most pertinent art, the references fail to teach that the fabric has both 90 or more stitches per square centimeter and having an air permeability higher than 2400 l/10 cm²h. The Applicant indicates that the use of a fabric having 90 or more stitches per square centimeter and having an air permeability higher than 2400 l/10 cm²h for molding glass has unexpected results. The skilled artisan would view increasing the number of stitches as most likely decreasing the permeability of the fabric which would be an undesirable result because it would prevent a sufficient suction pressure from being obtained when molding/handling glass. Additionally, the skilled artisan would view increasing the number of stitches as increasing the weight of the

Art Unit: 1771

the same

fabric would also be an undesirable result since heavy fabrics sag and bunch up leaving a line on the glass from the ply and reducing the optical performance of the glass (Remarks, December 5, 2003).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A Boyd whose telephone number is 571-272-1473. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (8:30am - 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jub Boyl Jennifer Boyd February 19, 2004 Ula C. Ruddock
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 1700

Ma Ruddock